IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

AFTER II MOVIE, LLC, et al.,	\$	
Plaintiffs,	\$	
_	\$	1.21 CV 700 DD
V.	8	1:21-CV-709-RP
GRANDE COMMUNICATIONS	8	
NETWORKS, LLC,	S	
Defendant.	<u> </u>	
	J	

ORDER

Before the Court is the report and recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge

Dustin Howell concerning Plaintiff After II Movie, LLC, et al.'s ("Plaintiffs") Motion for Leave to

File its Third Amended Complaint, (Dkt. 109). (R. & R., Dkt. 139). Plaintiffs timely filed objections
to the report and recommendation. (Objs., Dkt. 146).

A party may serve and file specific, written objections to a magistrate judge's findings and recommendations within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the report and recommendation and, in doing so, secure *de novo* review by the district court. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Because Plaintiffs timely objected to the report and recommendation, the Court reviews the report and recommendation *de novo*. Having done so and for the reasons given in the report and recommendation, the Court overrules Plaintiffs' objections and adopts the report and recommendation as its own order.

Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** that the report and recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Dustin Howell, (Dkt. 139), is **ADOPTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiffs' motion for leave to amend, (Dkt. 109), is **DENIED**.

SIGNED on October 19, 2023.

ROBERT PITMAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE